Appalachian State University
Faculty Senate Agenda
April 25, 2011, FIRST SESSION, 3:15 pm
William Strickland Conference Room - 224 I.G. Greer
NOTE: All Faculty Senate meetings are recorded.

(3:15 pm)    I.  Welcome and Introduction of Visitors

(3:20 pm)    II.  Minutes

A.  Approval of April 11, 2011 Faculty Senate minutes. Available online at: http://facsen.appstate.edu/sites/default/files/FacultySenateMinutesApril112011Unapproved.pdf

(3:25 pm)    III.  Announcements

A.  Recognition of outgoing Faculty Senators whose terms have expired: Senators’ Horst, McCaughey, Napiorski, Newmark, Pollard, Salinas, Sanders, and Winn. Recognition of Faculty Senators who have been re-elected: Senators Geary, Rice, and Wangler.

B.  Call for nominations for Faculty Senate Executive Board positions (Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary) for 2011-2012 academic year. The responsibilities of each position are located in the Faculty Senate Guidebook: (http://facsen.appstate.edu/sites/default/files/FacultySenateGuidebooksofoctober2010.pdf)

C.  Provost Search Update.

(3:35 pm)    IV.  Visitors’ Report

A.  Report from Chancellor Peacock.

(3:55 pm)    B.  Report from Provost’s Taskforce on Promotion and Tenure: Dr. Glenda Treadaway (FA&A Dean and Committee Chair), Dr. Unal Boya (MKT), Dr. Jim Young (GHY), Dr. Holly Hirst (Graduate School), Dr. Tony Carey (Academic Affairs), and Dr. Jill Ehnenn (ENG/Faculty Senate Chair).

(4:20 pm)    V.  Provost’s Report
(4:35 pm)  VI.  Committee Reports (Committee Chair’s name is in bold print)

A.  Academic Policies (Brown, Gonzales, Hoffman, Lillian, McBride, Ramey, Winn)

   No Report.

B.  Agenda Committee (Ehnen, Mercer-Ballard, Rardin, Reesman)

   1.  Reading Day meeting

C.  Budget Committee (Susan Anderson, Botts, Evans, Frindethie, Geary, Rice, Strazicich)

   No Report.

D.  Campus Planning Committee (Aycock, C. Jackson, McCaughey, Robinson, Salinas, Scharer)

   No Report.

E.  Committee on Committees (Coffey, Rardin, Stephenson, Stoddard)

   1.  The Committee on Committees recommends Mr. Richard Elaver (TEC) to replace Ms. Jeanne Mercer-Ballard (TEC) on the Aesthetics Perspective Faculty Coordinating Committee.

   2.  The Committee on Committees recommends Dr. Carol Pollard (COB, CIS) for a three-year term on the Awards Committee.

   3.  The Committee on Committees recommends Dr. Karen Reesman (Health Sciences, NUR) for a three-year term on the Awards Committee.

   4.  The Committee on Committees recommends Ms. Michelle Surerus (FAA, TEC) for a three-year term on the Awards Committee.

   5.  The Committee on Committees recommends Dr. Susan Mills (MUS) for a three-year term on the Awards Committee.
6. The Committee on Committees recommends Mr. John Marty (FAA, T&D) for a three-year term on the Academic Integrity Board.


F. Faculty Handbook Committee (Stella Anderson, Ehnenn, Reesman, Lee, Baumhover)

1. Proposed changes to the Faculty Handbook, Chapter IV, to add Section 4.5.2.1.3 a, b, c, d, and e (Dean Evaluations) and Section 4.5.2.1.4 b, c, and d (Other Administrative Evaluations). (Appendix B).

2. Proposed changes to the Faculty Handbook, Chapter VII, in response to Motion FS 10-11/04-07 concerning the composition of university committees to ensure all colleges/schools/library have appropriate representation. (Appendix C).

3. Proposed changes to the Faculty Handbook, Chapter III, regarding descriptions of appointments to ranks. (Appendix D).

G. Faculty Welfare and Morale Committee (Atkins, Galloway, A. Jackson, Koch, Levy, Mercer-Ballard, Miller, Wangler)


H. Welfare of Students Committee (Gross, Horst, Jennings, Napiorski, Pollard, Sanders)

1. Proposed changes to the Faculty Handbook, Chapter V, to incorporate absences for religious observances in the current attendance policy. (Appendix E).

VII. Unfinished Business

None.
(5:00 pm)  VIII. New Business

A. Student Athletic Fees:

1. Senator McCaughey: Resolution from Sociology Department regarding student athletic fees. (Appendix F).

2. The Graduate Student Association Senate resolution on student fees: The GSAS voted unanimously in support of the Sociology Department’s Resolution on the Purpose of Student Fees.

3. GSAS results of graduate student survey on the direction of fees and taxes at Appalachian State. (Appendix G).

4. SGA survey of undergraduates’ thoughts about student fees. (Appendix H).

5. Email from Dr. Alan Hauser. (Appendix I).


IX. Adjournment
Appendix A: Spring 2011 Faculty Elections Report.

Faculty Assembly
The election of two Faculty Assembly delegates (a one year replacement and a three year seat) held April 1-8, 2011 is declared void since the UNC Faculty Assembly mandated that ASU delegates be reduced by one taking us from five to four delegates. There will be a runoff election for the three year seat between two of the three candidates from the April 1-8 election. One has declined to run for the three year seat.

Faculty Due Process Committee
Three year term: Colin Ramsey (ENG) elected.

Faculty Grievance Assistance Committee
Three year term: Margot Olson (TEC) elected.

Gifts Acceptance Committee
Three year at-large seat: (To be determined by a runoff election; write-in votes resulted in a tie).
Three year Music seat: (To be determined by a runoff election; write-in votes resulting in a tie).
One year A&S seat: Adam Newmark (GJS) elected.
One year FAA seat: Eli Bentor (TEC) elected.
One year term Health Science seat: Travis Triplett (HLES) elected.
Two year COE seat: Alecia Jackson (LES) elected.
Two year COB seat: Dave Wood (FBI) elected.
Two year Library seat: Glenn Ellen Stilling (LIB) elected.
Three year University College seat: Patricia Beaver (Center for Appalachian Studies) elected.

University Research Council
Three year COE seat: Tracy Goodson-Espy (C&I) elected.
Three year COE seat: Les Bolt (LES) elected.
Three year Music seat: Christine Leist elected.
Three year University College seat: Anne Fanatico (Sustainability) elected.

Runoff Elections
The plan is to hold the runoff elections as soon as possible before the end of classes this semester.

Respectfully submitted,
Patrick Rardin
Vice Chair, Faculty Senate.
Appendix B: Proposed changes to Faculty Handbook Chapter IV, Section 4.5.2.1.3 a, b, c, d, and e (Dean Evaluations) and Section 4.5.2.1.4 b, c, and d (Other Administrative Evaluations). (Motion FS 10-11/04-09 to amend passed. Amended language is in bold font).

(Note: A Faculty Senate vote was not conducted on this amended document due to a lack of a quorum).

Current Section 4.5.2.1.1 Chancellor Evaluation

(a) All faculty, staff and students will be provided the opportunity to evaluate the Chancellor.

(b) The reading committee for the Chancellor’s evaluation and review will be comprised of the President of the Student Government Association, the President of the Graduate Student Association Senate, the Chair of Staff Council, the Chair of the Faculty Senate, and the Chair of the Council of Chairs. All committee members and the Chancellor will be provided the raw data. The reading committee will meet and discuss the outcome of the evaluation process and write a document summarizing the results. The committee will then meet with the Chancellor to discuss their findings. After this meeting, the committee will write a final report and submit it to the Chair of the Board of Trustees and to the President of the University of North Carolina system.

Current Section 4.5.2.1.2 Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor Evaluation

(a) All faculty and direct report staff will be provided the opportunity to evaluate the Provost.

(b) The reading committee for the Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor will be comprised of the Chair of the Faculty Senate, the Chair of the Council of Chairs and a Dean jointly appointed by the Faculty Senate Chair and the Chancellor. All committee members and the Chancellor will be provided the raw data. The reading committee will meet and discuss the outcome of the evaluation process and write a document assessing the results. The committee will then meet with the Provost to discuss their findings. After this meeting, the committee will write a final report and submit it to the Chancellor.

Proposed Section 4.5.2.1.3 Dean Evaluations

(a) All faculty and direct report staff in a college will be provided the opportunity to evaluate the Dean of that college.

(b) Dean evaluations will take place on a rotating basis, with a few Deans being evaluated each year. New Deans will be evaluated in their second year and thereafter, approximately every three years.

(c) The reading committee for the Dean of a college will be composed of four members: a reading chair who is a member of the Faculty Senate Committee for Welfare and Morale; a
(d) The task of developing and updating Dean evaluation instruments will fall upon the Faculty Senate Committee for Welfare and Morale. Evaluation instruments will be tailored to the Dean’s job description with input from the Provost, approved by the Faculty Senate, and kept on file in the Faculty Senate office for use in subsequent years. Changes to a Dean evaluation instrument must be approved by the Faculty Senate.

(e) The reading committee will receive the raw data and meet to review it. The reading committee will then produce a written final report summarizing the reading committee’s review of the administrator along with a data summary and compiled comments with any identifying information removed. The reading committee will then meet with the Provost and the Dean under review to discuss their findings. The Dean and Provost shall receive a copy of the final report and the summary data at least three days prior to the meeting with the Provost. The Faculty Senate Chair shall receive a summary of the evaluation process at the completion of the administrative review. The evaluation meeting with the Provost should take place before the end of the spring semester of the academic year in which the evaluation takes place.

| Proposed Section 4.5.2.1.4 Other Administrative Evaluations |

(a) Other administrators such as Vice Chancellors and Vice Provosts will be reviewed at least every three years as determined by his/her supervisor. Each division/unit will develop an evaluation instrument and will establish who will evaluate each administrator.

(b) Administrative reviews for Vice Chancellor and Vice Provosts who regularly work with faculty shall be conducted by Faculty Senate. All faculty and direct report staff will be provided an opportunity to evaluate such administrators. Reading committees will be composed of at least four members (where possible representing different colleges): a reading chair who is a member of the Faculty Senate Committee for Welfare and Morale; a department chair (or program head for units like the Library, University College, and the School of Music); a Dean; and another faculty member.

(c) The task of developing and updating relevant evaluation instruments for administrators referenced in 4.5.2.1.4b will fall upon the Faculty Senate Committee for Welfare and Morale. Evaluation instruments will be tailored to the administrator’s job description with input from the Provost, approved by the Faculty Senate, and kept on file in the Faculty Senate office for use in subsequent years. Changes to these evaluation instruments must be approved by the Faculty Senate.

(d) Reading committees for administrators referenced in 4.5.2.1.4b will receive the raw data and meet to review it. The reading committee will then produce a written final report summarizing
the reading committee’s review of the administrator along with a data summary and compiled comments with any identifying information removed. The reading committee will then meet with the Provost and the administrator under review to discuss their findings. The administrator under review and Provost shall receive a copy of the final report and the summary data at least three days prior to the meeting with the Provost. The Faculty Senate Chair shall receive a summary of the evaluation process at the completion of the administrative review. The evaluation meeting with the Provost should take place before the end of the spring semester of the academic year in which the evaluation takes place.
Appendix C: Proposed Changes to Faculty Handbook, Chapter VII, Regarding Descriptions of Committees to Accommodate All New Colleges.

7.3.4.2 ACADEMIC POLICIES AND PROCEDURES COMMITTEE

a. Members on Committee: 15 – 19. Twelve Fifteen faculty and 4 students. The student membership (one-year terms) shall include one graduate and three undergraduate students as voting members. The students will serve as liaison between the Academic Policies and Procedures Committee and the Student Government Association. The faculty voting members of AP&P shall include at least one faculty member from each college or school (with the exception of the Graduate School) and the Library with additional faculty members based on the current proportions of full-time equivalent (FTE) faculty. The ex-officio non-voting membership of AP&P shall include one person from each of the following areas: Dean’s Office in each college/school, Provost’s Office, Registrar, and Academic Advising. The Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor, or his/her designee, will convene the first meeting and facilitate the selection of a chair, or co-chairs, from among the voting members of the committee.

b. Report to: The Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor

c. Areas of responsibility: The major area of responsibility shall be the curriculum. Other areas are: academic policies, advanced placement; graduation requirements; hearing appeals concerning academic matters from any college/school, department, member of the faculty, or students and matters referred to it by the Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor or the Chancellor.

(Note, this adds 3 faculty, assuming 2 from COHS and 1 from UC)

7.3.4.5 AWARDS COMMITTEE

a. Members on Committee: 16 – 19. 16 faculty (3 from Arts and Sciences, 2 from Business, 2 from Education, 2 from Fine and Applied Arts, 2 from Music, 2 from College of Health Sciences, 1 from University College, and 2 from the Library); 2 staff; and 2 students (one undergraduate and one graduate). Faculty composition can be any faculty, including adjunct and part-time. In the event a member of the committee wishes to seek an award, an alternate from her/his area will be recommended to the Faculty Senate by the Committee on Committees to serve for the duration of the awards process. The Chair of the Faculty Senate or his/her designee, will convene the first meeting and facilitate the selection of a chair, or co-chairs, from among the voting members of the committee.

b. Report to: The Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor

c. Areas of responsibility: Selecting and facilitating University and external awards, including but not limited to: ASU teaching awards, the Board of Governors Awards for Excellence in Teaching, and the O. Max Gardner award.
7.5.24 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

a. Members on Council: 11-9-142. Eight 6 faculty will be recommended by the appropriate Deans and University Librarian and will be appointed by the Provost as follows: one (1) faculty representative from each of the following University entities: College of Arts and Sciences, Walker College of Business, Reich College of Education, College of Fine and Applied Arts, Hayes School of Music, College of Health Sciences, University College, and Belk Library and Information Commons. The Faculty Senate will appoint one additional voting member. All faculty will be voting members and will serve three-year terms. One (1) representative each from Research and Graduate Studies and the Small Business and Technology Development Center will be voting members. One representative from the University Attorney’s Office will be non-voting. The Provost may at her/his discretion appoint up to three (3) additional non-voting members, which may include non-ASU affiliated individuals. All members should be appointed based on her/his area of expertise and commitment to furthering the intellectual property development efforts of both the faculty and University.

b. Report to: The Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor.

c. Areas of responsibility: 1) receive and review all intellectual property disclosures made by the faculty and staff to Appalachian State University; 2) recommend such measures as are necessary to assure prompt and expeditious handling, evaluation, and prosecution of intellectual property opportunities in accordance with approved University procedures (http://www.academicaffairs.appstate.edu/resources/IntellProp.pdf); 3) other duties consistent with the Appalachian State University Intellectual Property Transfer Policy; and 4) direct questions of intellectual property ownership to the committee charged with hearing faculty grievances.
Appendix D: Proposed changes to Faculty Handbook descriptions of appointment to ranks.

CURRENT DESCRIPTION OF ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
3.4.2.5.2 Minimal criteria for consideration of appointment/promotion to the rank of assistant professor are:
(a) The appropriate earned terminal degree from an accredited institution, unless there are exceptional circumstances;
(b) Demonstrated ability in teaching;
(c) Evidence of ability in at least one of the following:
   (i) research or other germane creative activity;
   (ii) professional service to the University and/or to the public;
(d) Willingness to participate in institutional affairs.

PROPOSED DESCRIPTION OF ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
3.4.2.5.2 Minimal criteria for consideration of appointment/promotion to the rank of assistant professor are:
(a) The appropriate earned terminal degree from an accredited institution, unless there are exceptional circumstances;
(b) Demonstrated ability in teaching;
(c) Evidence of ability for research or other germane creative activity;
(d) Willingness to participate in institutional affairs and professional service to the University and/or to the public.

CURRENT DESCRIPTION OF ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
3.4.2.6.2 Minimal criteria for consideration of appointment/promotion to the rank of associate professor are:
(a) The appropriate earned terminal degree from an accredited institution, unless there are exceptional circumstances, and at least five years of appropriate experience;
(b) Recognized skill in teaching;
(c) Evidence of at least one of the following:
   (i) recognized accomplishment in research or other germane creative activity;
   (ii) recognized accomplishment in professional service to the University and/or to the public; and
(d) Willingness to participate in institutional affairs.

PROPOSED DESCRIPTION OF ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
3.4.2.6.2 Minimal criteria for consideration of appointment/promotion to the rank of associate professor are:
(a) The appropriate earned terminal degree from an accredited institution, unless there are exceptional circumstances, and at least five years of appropriate experience;
(b) Recognized skill in teaching;
(c) Recognized accomplishment in research or other germane creative activity;
(d) Recognized accomplishment in professional service to the University and/or to the public; and
(e) Demonstrated willingness to participate in institutional affairs.

CURRENT DESCRIPTION OF PROFESSOR
3.4.2.7.2 Minimal criteria for consideration of appointment/promotion to the rank of professor are:
(a) The appropriate earned terminal degree from an accredited institution, unless there are
exceptional circumstances, and at least ten years of appropriate experience
(b) Recognized skill in teaching;
(c) Evidence of at least one of the following:
   (i) outstanding accomplishment in research or other germane creative activity;
   (ii) outstanding accomplishment in professional service to the University and/or to the
       public; and
(d) Demonstrated ability and participation in institutional affairs.

PROPOSED DESCRIPTION OF PROFESSOR
3.4.2.7.2 Minimal criteria for consideration of appointment/promotion to the rank of professor are:
(a) The appropriate earned terminal degree from an accredited institution, unless there are
exceptional circumstances, and at least ten years of appropriate experience;
(b) Outstanding accomplishment in research or other germane creative activity;
(c) Evidence of at least one of the following:
   (i) Outstanding accomplishment in teaching with ongoing, recognized, accomplishment in
       professional service;
   (ii) Ongoing, recognized accomplishment in teaching with outstanding accomplishment in
       professional service; and
(d) Demonstrated willingness to participate in institutional affairs.
Appendix E: Proposed Changes to the *Faculty Handbook* related to Attendance: 
To incorporate absences for religious observances in the current attendance policy.

**Current Wording**

5.5.1 General Attendance Policy

5.5.1.1 It is the policy of Appalachian State University that class attendance is an important part of a student's educational experience. Students are expected to attend every meeting of their classes and are responsible for class attendance. Regardless of what reasons there may be for absence, students are accountable for all academic activities, and faculty may require special work or tests to make up for the missed class or classes. In addition, faculty members are encouraged to make reasonable accommodations for students requesting to miss class due to the observance of religious holidays.

Faculty, at their discretion, may include class attendance as a criterion in determining a student's final grade in the course. On the first day of class, faculty must inform students of their class attendance policy and the effect of that policy on their final grade; both policies must be clearly stated in the class syllabus.

A student who does not attend a class during one of its first two meetings may, at the discretion of the academic department, lose her or his seat in that class. Further, if a class meets only one time per week - e.g., a laboratory or an evening class - the student must attend the FIRST meeting of that class or risk losing her or his seat.

**Amended Wording to incorporate the Religious Observance Policy:**

5.5.1 General Attendance Policy

5.5.1.1 It is the policy of Appalachian State University that class attendance is an important part of a student's educational experience. Students are expected to attend every meeting of their classes and are responsible for class attendance. Since attendance policies vary from class to class and professor to professor, students should refer to the course syllabus for detailed information. Regardless of what reasons there may be for absence, students are accountable for all academic activities, and faculty may require special work or tests to make up for the missed class or classes. In addition, faculty members are encouraged to make reasonable accommodations for students requesting to miss class due to the observance of religious holidays. All ASU students are allowed a minimum of two absences per academic year for religious observances. Up to two absences for such observances will be excused, without penalty to the student, provided that the student has informed the instructor in the manner specified in the syllabus. Notice must be given by the student to the instructor no later than three weeks after the start of the semester in which the absence(s) will occur.

Arrangements to make up work missed by these religious observances, without penalty to the
student, will be agreed upon between the professor and the student. For the purposes of this policy, ASU defines the term “religious observances” to include religious holidays, holy days or similar observances associated with a student’s faith that require absence from class.

Faculty, at their discretion, may include class attendance as a criterion in determining a student's final grade in the course. On the first day of class, faculty must inform students of their class attendance policy and the effect of that policy on their final grade; both policies must be clearly stated in the class syllabus.

A student who does not attend a class during one of its first two meetings may, at the discretion of the academic department, lose her or his seat in that class. Further, if a class meets only one time per week - e.g., a laboratory or an evening class - the student must attend the FIRST meeting of that class or risk losing her or his seat.

Respectfully Submitted,
The Welfare of Students Committee
Appendix F: Sociology Department Resolution on the Purpose of Student Fees  
Feb. 17, 2011.

Whereas, The University of North Carolina System of public higher education faces probable severe budget cuts, and

Whereas, The North Carolina legislature decided to cease underwriting out-of-state tuition waivers for 65 Appalachian State University student athletes (a total of $1.2 million), and

Whereas, Appalachian State University’s central mission is teaching, research, and public service grounded in scholarly expertise, and

Whereas, Appalachian State University is barred from increasing tuition beyond 6.5%, but can still increase student fees, and

Whereas, Appalachian State is planning a $70/year annual increase to students’ fees to cover the cost of 65 out-of-state tuition waivers for athletes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That student fee increases implemented after January 1, 2011 should not be used to fund in-state or out-of-state tuition waivers for athletes; therefore, be it

Further Resolved, That any move to a Division 1-A status in football be funded exclusively by private, not state or student fee, money; therefore, be it

Further Resolved, That Faculty Senate and Student Government Association review proposed fee increases based on an assessment of their merits for the overall academic mission of the University and its service to the citizens of North Carolina.
Appendix G: GSAS: Athletics & Academics: Direction of Fees and Taxes at Appalachian State.

Survey Results (N=100 Graduate students contacted by members of GSAS)

1. Do you believe the $566 of the $1,711 that Appalachian students pay in athletics fees in proportion to total fees is justified?

   Yes 24.5%; 24
   No 74.5%; 73

   Other = 8, Respondents = 98, Skipped question = 2

2. Do you think the proposed $70 athletics fee to cover out of state tuition waivers for student athletes since these waivers were revoked by the state is justified?

   Yes 28.1%; 27
   No 71.9%; 69

   Other = 7, Respondents = 96, Skipped question = 4

3. Do you like the idea of a $1 or $2 academic tax on non-student tickets to athletic events?

   Yes 76.6%; 76
   No 21.4%; 21

   Other = 6, Respondents = 98, Skipped question = 2

“Other” Comments:

1. No.

   I don't want to pay for a football team - I'm happy to pay for the recreational facilities for all students to use

   Too Much

   Not everyone utilizes the athletics programs.

   That’s ridiculously high!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! I didn’t even know that and now I am upset about it
No, I am a music student. Would the athletes pay music fees? I don't have time for sports games and did not come to ASU because of football.

I think college athletics is the worst thing to happen to higher education in the history of learning.

1. Yes
Our athletics program runs with a much smaller budget than other comparable Universities. This is appropriate, although seemingly steep.

2. Unsure
I don't know what all is implied by "athletics fees".

2. No
In state tuition should not have been revoked.
That money should not come from other students
Have them pay for their own waivers
Giving athletes any special treatment is immoral, unjust and blatant exploitation

2. Not sure
What proportion would this cover? I think covering PART of this would be fine to ensure our out of state talent doesn't diminish.
don't even understand the issue

3. Yes
And for students as well.
I also think students should pay for tickets.
If student fees went down. It would allow those who enjoy/participate in these activities those who help to support it.
It should be a $100.00 fee.

3. Not sure
Not sure what is meant by this but seems plausible.
Appendix H: SGA Survey of Undergraduates Thoughts About Student Fees.

(45 of 250 – 300 students responded).

1. Do you think that our athletic fee of $639 next year is justified?

   Answered question = 45
   Skipped question = 0

   YES: Response Percentage = 57.8%
        Response Count = 26

   NO:  Response Percentage = 42.2%
        Response Count = 19

2. Do you think $70 athletics fee to cover out of state tuition waivers for student athletes since these waivers were revoked by the state is justified (that increase raised the fee for next year to $639)?

   Answered question = 45
   Skipped question = 0

   YES: Response Percentage = 55.6%
        Response Count = 25

   NO:  Response Percentage = 44.4%
        Response Count = 20

3. Do you think that knowing Western Carolina has an athletic fee of $633.50 while UNC Asheville has an athletic fee of $620, that our athletic fee of $639 next year is justified?

   Answered question = 18
   Skipped question = 27

   YES: Response Percentage = 83.3%
        Response Count = 15

   NO:  Response Percentage = 16.7%
        Response Count = 3
Appendix I: Email from Dr. Alan Hauser to Dr. Ehnenn, Faculty Senate Chair dated April 8, 2011.

Jill,

Due to the NCAA Certification Team visit, Charlie Cobb and I will not be able to attend the Senate meeting Monday. However, since the resolution from the Sociology Dept. is on the agenda, I would like to mention several points relevant to it. These are points the resolution either does not address, or addresses inaccurately.

While it is true that there is a 6.5% limit on tuition increases, it should be noted that the same 6.5% limit is also placed on student fee increases. The university's ability to increase student fees is hardly unlimited, as the motion seems to suggest.

The Fee Committee, which considers proposals for increases in student fees, is composed primarily of students. There are seven undergraduate students and one graduate student on that committee, plus five staff persons from Financial Aid, Academic Affairs, etc. This means that the last "Resolved," which suggests consideration by students of any proposed fee increases, is already being done.

Student athletes, as well as other students who came from outside North Carolina on out-of-state tuition waivers came here with the understanding of having a multi-year commitment for that waiver. It hardly seems fair to now pull that away from them, whether they are student athletes, or out-of-state students in other programs. If the legislature is unethical in withdrawing this commitment, that does not mean that Appalachian has to follow their lead.

Thank you for receiving these points.

Alan Hauser
Faculty Athletics Representative
### Appalachian State University
### Annual Tuition and Fees
### 2001-02 to 2010-11

#### Tuition:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-state</td>
<td>1,222.00</td>
<td>1,520.00</td>
<td>1,596.00</td>
<td>1,821.00</td>
<td>1,821.00</td>
<td>2,221.00</td>
<td>2,221.00</td>
<td>2,263.00</td>
<td>2,341.00</td>
<td>2,960.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### General Fees:
- **Educational and Technology:**
  - 106.00  
  - 112.00  
  - 112.00  
  - 118.00  
  - 218.00  
  - 268.00  
  - 363.00  
  - 363.00  
  - 363.00  

- **Athletics:**
  - General Fee:
    - 318.00  
    - 333.00  
    - 333.00  
    - 348.00  
    - 456.00  
    - 486.00  
    - 526.00  
    - 559.00  
    - 566.00  

- **Marching Band:**
  - 3.00  
  - 3.00  
  - 3.00  
  - 3.00  
  - 3.00  
  - 3.00  
  - 3.00  
  - 3.00  
  - 3.00  

- **TOTAL Athletics Fee:**
  - 321.00  
  - 336.00  
  - 336.00  
  - 351.00  
  - 364.00  
  - 459.00  
  - 489.00  
  - 529.00  
  - 562.00  
  - 569.00  

- **Health Services:**
  - 177.00  

- **Student Activities:**
  - Ed. & Recreational Programs:
    - 142.50  
    - 149.50  
    - 149.50  
    - 156.50  
    - 156.50  
    - 246.50  
    - 286.50  
    - 286.50  
    - 286.50  
    - 286.50  

  - Intramurals:
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  

  - Gym:
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  
    - 7.50  

  - Transcript:
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  
    - 1.50  

  - Incidental:
    - 3.00  
    - 3.00  
    - 4.00  
    - 3.00  
    - 3.00  
    - 3.00  
    - 3.00  
    - 3.00  
    - 3.00  
    - 3.00  

  - Cultural Affairs:
    - 8.50  

  - Student Union:
    - 136.50  

  - Renewable Energy Fee:
    - 10.00  

  **TOTAL Student Activities Fee:**
  - 301.00  

#### Fees & Charges Related to Liquidation of Indebtedness:
- **Student Union:**
  - 106.00  

- **Physical Education, Recreation & Student Recreation Center:**
  - 142.00  

- **Dining Facilities:**
  - 22.00  

- **Athletics Facilities Debt Service:**
  - 75.00  

  **TOTAL Indebtedness Fees:**
  - 143.00  

#### SUBTOTAL Tuition & Fees (In-State):
  - 2,270.00  

#### Subsistence:
- **Room with Basic Cable Service:**
  - 2,285.00  

- **Board--Standard Option:**
  - 1,510.00  

**TOTAL Subsistence:**
  - 3,795.00  

#### TOTAL Tuition, Fees, Subsistence (In-State):
- **6,133.00**  

#### Undergraduate Tuition, Fees & Subsistence (In-State)*
- **6,225.00**  

#### Graduate Tuition, Fees & Subsistence (In-State)*
- **6,133.00**  

#### Out-Of-State Additive, UNDERGRADUATE:
- **7,922.00**  

#### TOTAL Out-Of-State, UNDERGRADUATE:
- **14,147.00**  

#### TOTAL Out-Of-State, GRADUATE*
- **14,055.00**  

---

*Graduate student fee is $30 for all years represented. Book rental fee is subtracted for graduate students.

Source: University Controller's Office