At 3:15 p.m. on October 8, 2001, Chair Weitz convened the Faculty Senate meeting in the University Conference Room (224 Greer Hall).

I. ANNOUNCEMENTS
   A. VISITORS. Weitz welcomed visitors and asked that they introduce themselves. (See voting sheet for visitors' names.)
   B. AGENDA ADDITIONS. Weitz announced that there are a couple of adjustments to the agenda under New Business. One is on Liberty University and the other is coping with the terrorist situation. There will also be an additional motion from the Academic Policy Committee.

II. VISITORS

III. MINUTES
   A motion was made and seconded to approve the September 10 minutes as written.

   VOTE # 1  20 yes  0 no  0 abstain  The motion passed.

IV. STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS
   A. EQUITY OFFICE/GRIEVANCE PROCESS AD-HOC COMMITTEE
      1. FS0102/09-02 Motion to Change the Equity Office. Weitz noted that the new draft has been revised based upon input from the last meeting which was to minimize or eliminate the conflict of acting as an advocate as well as a prosecutor. Bush suggested renaming the Equity Office, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Office. Hall noted that the Equity Office does more than dispute resolutions; it also acts as an educational advocate.

      Discussion followed on if the investigatory aspect of the Equity Office is removed, who will do the investigation. It was noted that it would be hard to vote on the motion now because the investigatory issue is still incomplete. Others indicated that it does not all have to be taken care of in one motion. Koch added that a vote be taken on the motion and to vote it down so that he could make a motion to do away with the Equity Office. Koch went on to say that the problems that are encountered most of the time can be handled at the department or college level. The sexual harassment grievance mechanism can be handled in Human Resource Services.

      Gates reported that he met with the grievance mediation committee where it was discussed that perhaps the mediation/ADR cases could be farmed out to a totally separate entity outside of the University to help alleviate the apparent conflict of interests.

      Woodworth asked how things were handled prior to the existence of the Equity Office. Ward replied that if it involved a faculty member it was handled in Academic Affairs and if it involved a student it was handled in Student Development. Ward added that if there were a formal charge of discrimination or a violation to a federal statute, then the Equal Opportunity Office handled those cases. Moore noted that Academic Affairs turned the investigatory/prosecutable aspect over to the Equity Office because the administration was seeking some more professionally trained/developed people to handle this.
Moore made a motion to reword point #2 to read, Clarify the charge of the Equity Office, restricting it to advocacy and education work and not investigation for administrative needs.

VOTE # 2 21 yes 0 no 2 abstain The motion passed.

It was suggested that there should be a point #6 which would state, Investigatory processes will be looked at further, with the possibility of using an outside source. It was discussed as to whom the Equity Office would report to--Human Resources? the Provost? the Chancellor? McLaughlin noted that the Senate is trying to do committee work--that the motion should be sent back to the originating committee to rework it. Weitz replied that the Committee has done its work and made its recommendations. It is now up to the Senate to revise the recommendations. Rardin called for the question.

VOTE # 3 7 yes 16 no 0 abstain The question was not passed.

Hall moved to send the motion back to the ad-hoc Committee for revision regarding the investigatory issue, what to call it and who it will report to. Butts seconded.

VOTE # 4 23 yes 0 no 0 abstain The motion passed.

2. Discussion item - two grievance hearing committees. Weitz reported that one result from the meeting that the Faculty Handbook Committee had with Betsy Bunting, was the recommendation that Appalachian go with, like most of the other UNC schools, two separate grievance hearing committees. Durham clarified that this is only a recommendation and not a mandate.

Weitz noted that the reason to have two different grievance hearing committees is that there are two types of grievances: 1) where evidence is the burden of the the administration and 2) where evidence is the burden of the faculty member. This system works more efficiently and is clearer for all parties involved. Weitz asked the senate if this is something that would be advantageous here at Appalachian. Marking suggested that in the pool of 12 grievance hearing committee members, that two separate committee lines not be drawn, but rather just keep one pool of people to rotate functions.

Parker added that the committee would deal with two types of legal issues: 1) one committee would deal with property rights held by the aggrieved faculty member (disciplinary) and 2) one committee would deal with non-property rights (promotion, non-reappointment, salary disputes, summer school teaching, class schedules).

Woodworth moved to have two committees and Petschauer seconded. Moore suggested an amendment that the names of the committees be: 1) Due Process Committee (concerned with disciplinary cases) and 2) Faculty Grievance Committee.

VOTE # 5 23 yes 0 no 0 abstain The motion passed.

Weitz noted that everything voted on at the last meeting regarding the grievance process has to be adapted to two committees. Weitz charged the Faculty Handbook Committee to deal with this.
3. Discussion item - grievance hearing committee/rules of procedure. Weitz noted that according to The Code and our Handbook (which is in line with The Code), the grievance committees create their own procedures. Appalachian’s procedures were drafted by Hugh Hindman a few years ago but this document has never been officially shared with all parties on campus. Weitz suggested that the document be distributed to all faculty and administration. Minimally, this document should be made as a link on the Faculty Senate’s web page and that it be understood that the Committee can change the rules midstream if they wish. Weitz asked if the Committee does decide to make procedure changes, should those changes come before the senate for approval? It was decided that the Committee has the right to make the changes without further approval. Parker noted that it needs to be determined if these procedures will be applicable to both committees or just one. Weitz said that the ad-hoc committee would study this issue.

B. ACADEMIC POLICY COMMITTEE

1. FS0102/10-01 - Motion on the Use of Convocation Center. Barber reported that the Athletics Council has discussed this in the past and that, per Roachel Laney, the indoor track was created for track practice and meets (athletic purposes). Athletes in training should not be in competition with others and at risk of injury. Weitz responded that she has testimonials from several people who served on the Convocation Center building committee that said that one of the main selling points was that this was to be used by faculty, staff, and students and not just athletics. Allen made the following amendment (to be added at the end of the last sentence), when it is not in use for athletic purposes. Barber moved that the Committee speak with Laney before the senate votes on this motion, but it was not seconded.

   VOTE # 6  21 yes   2 no   0 abstain  The motion passed.

FS0102/10-01 - Motion on Use of the Convocation Center.
Whereas, the Holmes Convocation Center was built with state funds, and
Whereas, representations were made to interested faculty during the planning stage that the indoor jogging track planned for the structure would be open for faculty, staff, and students, and
Whereas, an indoor jogging track is one of the facilities included in the completed building.

Therefore, be it resolved that the jogging track be made available for the use of Appalachian faculty, staff, and students when it is not in use for athletic purposes.

C. BUDGET COMMITTEE

1. Report on the State Budget. Harvey Durham and Jane Helm spoke to the Senate on the status of the State budget. Helm distributed a breakdown of the 2001-2002 Budget Reductions for Appalachian. Most of the reductions were made by the legislature. Helm noted concern that we have not seen the end of the budget cuts. Durham noted that cuts have been fair to all areas on campus. Weitz asked what the total student tuition increase was for the year and if Appalachian underestimated or overestimated the tuition increase. Helm replied that tuition increased $250 (for the year) and that the undergraduate in-state tuition bills were within $1 of being accurate. Out-of-state tuition, however, was underestimated due to actions of the General Assembly. Appalachian has had to go back and bill out-of-state students and has received a lot of negative feedback. In-state students were not rebilled, so adjustments will be made for the spring semester billing.
Helm and Durham noted that it may be around three weeks before departments receive their budgets. It will probably be the November paycheck before faculty see their raises (which will be retroactive to July 1). Weitz asked if travel will be allocated across the board to faculty. Durham responded that deans will be given the money and that it will be up to them to decide. Each dean will receive travel money based on the number of positions in their college/school. Helm noted that excess travel lodging requests will need to go through Business Affairs for an indefinite period. McKinney asked if a per hour tuition rate is being considered. Durham responded that it was presented by the Budget Commission to chief academic officers about a month ago and that there are academic questions to consider.

Durham noted that every budgeted UNC employee will receive an annual salary increase of $625. Any other salary increases must be distributed based on merit and equity. Across the board faculty salary increases using the $150 tuition increase as recommended by the Faculty Senate last year cannot be used in this manner. Durham noted that he will need to clarify some of the wording as far as the mandate goes for awarding additional faculty salary increases.

Helm noted that there have been cash flow problems. Appalachian has received a quarterly allotment to run the university but now has been notified that it will receive monthly allotments. Appalachian received their full requested amount, but other UNC schools did not. Durham noted that the State Treasurer has commented that if we think this year is bad, wait until next year.

**B. ACADEMIC POLICY COMMITTEE, continued**

2. FS0102/10-02 - Motion on Graduate School Certificate Programs. Dean Domer spoke to the Senate regarding graduate school certificate programs, clarifying that these are certificate programs, not certification programs. She added that certificate programs are the hot thing of graduate education within the last two to three years. Certificate programs are strictly a sequence of courses offered ranging from 9-18 hours of course work. At the end of the focused course work in an area, the student receives a certificate saying they have successfully completed the course work. Domer noted that the programs are not being kept from AP&P because the certificate programs are not official programs as far as the state is concerned. The programs can be started and stopped when they are not longer of use without having to go through all the paperwork. The programs are reviewed at all levels--the department, the department chair, the dean of the college, and then Graduate Council. When the Graduate Council deals with it, it is sent to AP&P as an FYI. No new certificate program courses are being developed outside of the graduate program, but if one had to be, it would go through the normal procedure including AP&P.

Parker commented that the first certificate program was an undergraduate one in Computer Science and that it went through AP&P as do all undergraduate certificate programs. Parker added that whatever the Senate recommends, they were willing to go with. Butts asked if the students enrolled in the graduate certificate programs go through the normal graduate admissions process. Domer responded that some do and some do not. All have an application process where it needs to be certified that the students graduated with an undergraduate degree. Different programs have different requirements.

Simon noted that the no program should set a precedent of not going through AP&P just because of the need of rapidity.

VOTE # 7 13 yes 10 no 1 abstain The motion passed.
Motion on Graduate School Certificate Programs.
Whereas, graduate school certificate programs are academic in nature, and
Whereas, graduate school certificate programs are official offerings of Appalachian, and
Whereas, all new courses and catalog changes to existing courses and programs must be
reviewed prior to implementation by AP&P.

Therefore, be it resolved that all graduate school certificate programs be presented for review
and approval by AP&P.

The senate took a break at 5:25 and reconvened at 5:30.

3. FS0102/10-03 - Motion on Student Evaluations. Simon noted that the Whereas
student evaluations of instructors are property of the University came directly from the
University Attorney and that the motion was written in consultation with Bill Ward. It was
clarified that continuity between departments on what is done with student evaluations and
storage problems are being dealt with with this motion. Weitz brought up a concern with the
motion in that if the chair writes the summaries of both the qualitative and quantitative
information, that a faculty member could dispute that summary’s accuracy. It would be in the
University’s best interest to keep the original documents and data since these documents are the
main source for evaluating faculty teaching. After some recommended amendments, Weitz
asked that the Committee work on rewording of the motion to vote on later in the meeting.

4. Motion regarding faculty being physically threatened. Simon noted that the motion is
one that had just been drafted. Weitz explained that there is a loophole in the process in which if
a faculty member feels threatened by a student there is no clear policy on how that situation is to
be handled. Until we get something in the Handbook to address this, a resolution is needed right
now to address what to do in this situation. Hall asked that threats include verbal and not just
physical threats. Koch questioned what the appropriate steps are. Moore noted that the
crux of the issue is that until the Student Judicial Board investigates the complaint by the faculty
member, there is no way that they will remove the student permanently from the faculty
member’s class. Parker added that if a faculty member is being threatened verbally or
physically, they have the authority to put that person out of class. It was noted that sometimes
this abuse does not happen in class and that that is when it should be up to the faculty member to
decide that the faculty/student relationship should not continue. Yaukey recommended that the
student be placed on full suspension from the class until the hearing. Moore moved and Rardin
seconded to send the motion back to the Committee for rewording of the motion to vote on at the next
meeting. Barber added that the Committee consult with the University Attorney.

VOTE # 8 19 yes 4 no 0 abstain The motion passed.

D. COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES
1. University committees recommendations. The Committee presented their
recommendations for University committee and Faculty Senate replacements. (note - all terms
are for the 2001-2002 Academic Year only):
Awards Committee
Teresa Lee (T&D) to replace Marie Hoepfl (Technology)
Core Curriculum Committee
Tim Silver (His) to replace Harriett Buchanan (IDS) as a representative of Arts and Sciences
Library Services Committee
Brian Bailey (Music) to replace William Wilson (Music)
Traffic Management and Safety Committee
Robin Byerly (Mgt) to replace Christopher Conce (Information Technology and Operations Management)
Kathy Simon (PSCJ) to fill a vacancy for an Arts and Sciences representative.
Faculty Senate
Tom Whyte (Anthro) to replace Kathleen Campbell (Art) as a representative At-Large

The Committee on Committees must still find replacements for the following:
- Teaching Enhancement Committee
  Someone to represent in Fine and Applied Art
  2. Proposed schedule for review of University committees.
   - As best as can be determined, the Faculty Senate has responsibility for oversight and selection of faculty for membership for the following university committees/councils, and the Faculty Senate Committee on Committees is the body responsible for recommending to the Senate appropriate actions regarding those committees/councils:
   Academic Integrity Board
   Academic Policies and Procedures Committee
   Admissions Committee
   Awards Committee
   Core Curriculum Council
   Cultural Affairs Advisory Board
   Faculty and Staff Benefits Committee
   Library Services Committee
   Non-Tenure Track Faculty Committee
   Patent and Copyright Committee
   Registration and Calendar Committee
   Strategic Planning Commission
   Teaching Enhancement Committee
   Traffic Management and Safety Committee

Additionally, the Committee on Committees is charged with recommending to the Faculty Senate the names of faculty members to fill vacancies that may occur between elections for the following elected university committees/councils:
Faculty Assembly
Faculty Grievance Hearing Committee
Faculty Grievance Mediation Committee
Faculty Senate
Graduate Council
Research Council

The Committee on Committees is also charged with the responsibility to conduct periodic reviews of faculty committees/councils, to report the findings of those reviews to the Faculty Senate, and to make recommendations for changes/modifications in the committee under
During the 2000-2001 Academic Year, the Committee on Committees reviewed many, but not all, of the committees/councils. Those reviewed included:

Academic Integrity Board
Academic Policies and Procedures Committee
Admissions Committee
Awards Committee
Core Curriculum Council
Faculty and Staff Benefits Committee
Non-Tenure Track Faculty Committee
Traffic Management and Safety Committee

This extensive review process proved to be an overwhelming task for the members of the Committee on Committees. We propose to establish a schedule by which committees/councils will be reviewed every three years. We believe this schedule will allow the members of the Committee on Committees to conduct a periodic thorough review of the committees while not overburdening the members of the committee. We propose the following schedule for review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Year of Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Integrity Board</td>
<td>2003-2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Policies and Procedures Committee</td>
<td>2003-2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions Committee</td>
<td>2003-2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards Committee</td>
<td>2001-2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Curriculum Council</td>
<td>2003-2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Affairs Advisory Board</td>
<td>2001-2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty and Staff Benefits Committee</td>
<td>2003-2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Services Committee</td>
<td>2002-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Tenure Track Faculty Committee</td>
<td>2003-2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent and Copyright Committee</td>
<td>2002-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration and Calendar Committee</td>
<td>2002-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Planning Commission</td>
<td>2001-2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Enhancement Committee</td>
<td>2002-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Management and Safety Committee</td>
<td>2001-2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VOTE # 9  23 yes  0 no  0 abstain  The motion passed.

Butts asked if anyone knows of any committee that the Committee on Committees is supposed to find replacements for to let the Committee know.

E. WELFARE AND MORALE
1. Report on the New York Loft/AppHouse - The Committee submitted the following report:
   1. The meeting is still being set for past directors, Dr. Bill Ward, and administration in the Instructional Technology Center. For your information, a copy of the resolution passed by the Senate is being provided at the meeting.
   2. At the recent Chancellor Advisory Committee meeting, both Chancellor Borkowski and Dr. Durham stated that they are pursuing the reconstruction/relocation of the AppHouse in
Washington, DC (pending budgetary considerations).

2. FS0102/10-04a - Motion Regarding Naming of the Faculty/Staff Lounge. The Committee submitted the following motion and it was approved as written:
Whereas Dr. Jimmy Smith was an active participant on the Committee to develop the Faculty/Staff Lounge and was a respected senator and leader at Appalachian, be it resolved that the Faculty/Staff Lounge be named The Jimmy Smith Faculty/Staff Lounge in his honor.

   VOTE # 10  22 yes  0 no  1 abstain  The motion passed.

3. FS0102/10-04b - Motion Regarding Guidelines for Faculty/Staff Lounge Use. The Committee submitted that following motion and it was approved as written:
Motion to take Recommended guidelines to Staff Council for action.
a. hours of operation 8:00 a.m.-8:00 p.m. Monday-Thursday. 8:00 a.m.-2:00 p.m. Friday
b. At this time, the Faculty/Staff Lounge may not be reserved for private use
c. Each person is expected to discard and/or recycle used materials. The cafeteria staff will provide daily cleaning.

   VOTE # 11  22 yes  1 no  0 abstain  The motion passed.

Woodworth added that on October 29, there will be the grand opening of the Jimmy Smith Faculty/Staff Lounge. A plaque will be bought to hang in the lounge in addition to a picture of Jimmy. A message will be sent out over the faculty listserv.

4. Post-tenure review report. Woodworth reported that the PTR Committee met today and reviewed suggested changes. A draft will be distributed to the Senate for approval at the next Senate meeting.

F. WELFARE OF STUDENTS COMMITTEE

FS0102/10-05 - Motion Regarding Pedestrian Safety. Rardin reported that the motion is in response to the accidents that have taken place this year. He asked that it be amended to include representation from SGA to also serve on the task force. Barber asked that until the task force comes out with a report, that something be done to help the situation now. After some discussion, the motion was approved as amended.

   VOTE # 12  22 yes  0 no  1 abstain  The motion passed.

FS0102/10-05 - Motion Regarding Pedestrian Safety. Whereas the number of students hit by automobiles between mid-August and September 20 of the 2001-2002 academic year is five, Be it resolved that Appalachian create a University task force (with faculty, staff, and student representation) to study and make recommendations for improving pedestrian safety.

Barber made the following motion: The Faculty Senate requests continual and rigorous enforcement of traffic laws, specifically, but not limited to, speeding and jay walking on campus and city/state thoroughfares adjacent to campus.

   VOTE # 13  22 yes  1 no  0 abstain  The motion passed.
B. ACADEMIC POLICY COMMITTEE, continued

3. FS0102/10-03 - Motion on Student Evaluations. The Committee submitted the rewording of the motion and it was approved as amended.

VOTE # 14  22 yes  1 no  0 abstain  The motion passed.

FS0102/10-03 - Motion on Student Evaluations.
Whereas, student evaluations of instructors constitute important evidence in tenure and promotion decisions, and Whereas, student evaluations of instructors are property of the University,

Therefore, be it resolved that the original qualitative and quantitative evaluations and summaries of these evaluations be housed in a secure location within the department office until tenure is granted to non-tenured faculty member. For tenured faculty, such records will be kept for the five-year period preceding a post-tenure review.

Faculty will be provided copies of all materials upon request.

G. FACULTY HANDBOOK COMMITTEE

FS0102/10-06 - Motion Regarding Criteria for Promotion. Crocker reported that she circulated the proposed motion to her department colleagues for feedback. She received over half of them back from full-time tenure track faculty and all of the feedback was negative. The response was that the rewording significantly changes the criteria. It makes the requirements for promotion and tenure more of a one-third one-third one-third split between the three areas. In the past, teaching must be at a certain level and another you must excel in. Suggestions Crocker received were to remove teaching from the last category (part c) in the old criteria. The proposed criteria would change the contracts with faculty. The bar would be raised too high with current faculty workloads.

Rardin noted that he, too, received negative feedback. The new criteria demands equal performance in all three areas. McLaughlin noted that a colleague stated that the new criteria goes against the University Mission Statement which gives a primacy to teaching. Another pragmatic point of view is that almost no one would be promoted or tenured; if an administrator decided to impose the criteria, almost anyone could be denied.

McLaughlin questioned the difference between professional service to the University and participate in institutional affairs. Butts responded that serving on the Senate constitutes participating in institutional affairs which is different from using one’s expertise as service to the University.

After further discussion, the motion was sent back to the Committee.

H. AGENDA COMMITTEE

No report.

I. CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

1. Restructuring Report. Abbott reported that Dr. Durham will give this report at the November meeting.

2. Committee report. The Committee submitted the following report: Met with Dr. Clinton Parker, Senior Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, regarding the University Space Committee. Meeting was informational with John Abbott representing FS Campus
Planning and expressing the Faculty Senate's concern that space allocations decisions appear to many faculty members to be made in a vacuum and that communication about Space Committee actions and rationale are not publicized. Dr. Parker outlined the composition of the Space Committee as 2 faculty members (one of whom is the Chair, Campus Planning) and representatives of each of the university's divisions: Academic Affairs (Parker); Business Affairs (Clyde Robbins); Student Affairs (Bob Feid); and Public Affairs (Jane Nicholson). Parker chairs the committee and the committee reports to the Chancellor. Composition of the committee is designed to balance the influence of the Vice-Chancellors in space matters. Prior to this configuration, the committee consisted of two administrators. Committee receives written requests for space allocation or reallocation. The members assemble as requests warrant the committee's action. Committee meets with the parties involved, visits the site(s), and balances campus needs in allocations. The committee meets two or three times per year.

V. OLD BUSINESS
   A. AD-HOC COMMITTEE ON IMPROVING APPALACHIAN’S ATTRACTIVENESS
      Petschauer will give a report at the November Faculty Senate meeting.
   B. AD-HOC COMMITTEE ON DPCS
      Weitz reported that the Committee has been formed and met one time. The Committee’s agenda and minutes can be viewed on the Faculty Senate web page after next week.
   C. AD-HOC COMMITTEE ON WORKLOAD IMPLEMENTATION
      Weitz reported that the Committee has been formed and met one time. The Committee’s agenda and minutes can be viewed on the Faculty Senate web page after next week.
   D. UPDATES
      Muir asked about an update regarding BooneWeather.com. Weitz noted that she forwarded the concern on to the Information Technology Advisory Committee but has not followed up on it. Simon asked if anything has been done with the textbook rental issue. Weitz noted she will give a report at the November meeting.

VI. NEW BUSINESS
   A. POSITION STATEMENT ON ATHLETICS
      Weitz noted the statement is not ready and will be discussed at the November Senate meeting.
   B. MOTION REGARDING LIBERTY UNIVERSITY.
      The Welfare of Students Committee was charged with this issue, although Koch, in working with the campus ACLU, presented the motion. Rardin responded that based on the Welfare of Students Committee’s investigation, Koch’s proposed motion will probably not be honored by the Chancellor because severing current contracts would cost the University a lot of money.

      Weitz gave a brief summary of why this issue has come about--Jerry Falwell, on the Pat Robertson show, made unfounded remarks and pointed the blame of the September 11 events at certain groups within the U.S. Simon added that the Council of Chairs will also have a resolution on this issue.

      Millsaps stated that students on Liberty’s football team should not be penalized by what
their President said. Neither should Appalachian’s students be penalized for something our Chancellor might say. We would be better off to teach tolerance without rejecting Liberty’s students or football team.

Allen noted that there is a difference in not agreeing with certain policies/beliefs and making inflammatory remarks about groups and laying blame. Falwell is setting a tone for lack of tolerance of people that are different and that sanctions on Appalachian’s part is extreme, but that she thought we did not want to have an association with them.

After the recommendation of a few amendments, a vote was taken.

VOTE # 15 20 yes 2 no 0 abstain The motion passed.

MOTION REGARDING LIBERTY UNIVERSITY - Since the President of a university is a public spokesperson for the University. And since President Falwell has made statements in recent days and in the past that are affront to the goals of tolerance and openness for all people, regardless of sexual preference, ethnic identity, or political affiliation, Be it resolved that the Faculty Senate calls on the Chancellor of Appalachian State University not to renew any contracts with Liberty University.

C. TERRORIST SITUATION

Weitz reported that she was notified by a faculty member a couple of days ago about coping with the possibility of ramifications of terrorist activity here at Appalachian. Are we prepared especially in terms of anthrax or some other biological threat. Do we have enough antibiotics or a quarantine system set up? Weitz noted that she will pass this concern on to the Administration.

Simon moved to adjourn and it was seconded.

VOTE 16 21 yes 0 no 0 abstain The motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 7:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Mike Moore
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARNOLD, CHIP</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARBER, BILL</td>
<td>Y N Y N N N Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSH, ROBERT</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUTTS, JEFF</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROCKER, DEBORAH</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATES, PAUL</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALL, KIM</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOCH, ANDY</td>
<td>A N Y Y Y A Y Y Y Y N Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG, BETTY</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARKING, MARTHA</td>
<td>Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCKINNEY, HAROLD</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCLAUGHLIN, TOM</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILLSAPS, STEVE</td>
<td>Y A N Y Y Y N Y Y Y A Y Y</td>
<td>A Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOORE, MIKE</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUIR, KEN</td>
<td>Y Y Y A N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETSCHAUER, PETER</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPE, JANICE</td>
<td>excused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARDIN, PATRICK</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMON, STEVE</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUETT, CAROL</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y N N Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEITZ, GAYLE</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHYTE, TOM</td>
<td>excused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODWORTH, JOAN</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAUKEY, MARGARET</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VISITORS: Bill Bauldry, Math; Rachel Bowling, SGA; Anthony DeBetta, The Appalachian; Judith Domer, Graduate Studies and Research; Jane Helm, Business Affairs; Clinton Parker, Academic Affairs; Bill Ward, Academic Affairs; Nathan A. Winkler

VOTE 1: Approve September 10 minutes as written
VOTE 2: Motion to reword point #2 of FS0102/09-02 - Motion to Change the Equity Office
VOTE 3: Call for the question
VOTE 4: Motion to send FS0102/09-02 back to committee
VOTE 5: Motion to have two grievance committees
VOTE 6: FS0101/10-01 - Motion on the Use of Convocation Center
VOTE 7: FS0102/10-02 - Motion on Graduate School Certificate Programs
VOTE 8: Motion to send back to committee the motion regarding faculty safety
VOTE 9: Committee on Committees recommendations
VOTE 10: FS0102/10-04a - Motion Regarding Naming of the Faculty/Staff Lounge
VOTE 11: FS0102/10-04b - Motion Regarding Guidelines for Faculty/Staff Lounge Use
VOTE 12: FS0102/10-05 - Motion Regarding Pedestrian Safety
VOTE 13: Motion regarding pedestrian safety, part 2
VOTE 14: FS0102/10-01 - Motion on Student Evaluations
VOTE 15: Motion regarding Liberty University
VOTE 16: Adjourn

Faculty Senate
Appalachian State University